

An assessment of Voter Behaviour and Voter Dynamics as a Tool for Consolidating Democracy in Nigeria: So Far, How Far?

Gaadi Jacob Iorhen

Department of Political Science Education, College of Arts & Social Sciences, Federal
University of Education Pankshin Plateau state,

Abstract

This study critically examines voter behaviour and voter dynamics as mechanisms for democratic consolidation in Nigeria - Africa's most populous nation and a key democratic experiment on the continent - and evaluates the extent to which they have contributed to the consolidation of democracy. Despite holding regular elections since 1999, Nigeria's democracy remains fragile, characterized by electoral violence, weak institutions, and pervasive voter apathy which has resulted in lack of inclusiveness in Nigeria. The paper evaluates trends in voter turnout, determinants of electoral participation, and their implications for democratic legitimacy. Through a political economy lens, this study argues that understanding and strategically engaging voter behavior – which in Nigeria, has been shaped by ethnic, religious, economic, and institutional factors - can strengthen democratic consolidation. It explores theoretical perspectives on voter behaviour, contextualizes Nigerian electoral politics historically, and analyzes empirical patterns of turnout, party identification, ethnicity, religion, clientelism. Using qualitative and quantitative analysis, the study finds that while Nigeria has institutionalized electoral democracy, declining voter turnout, vote buying, and identity-based politics continue to undermine democratic consolidation. The study argues that, while voter behaviour has gradually shifted from purely primordial loyalties towards more issue- and performance-based considerations in some urban and educated constituencies; the dominant logics of ethnic-regional alignment, vote buying, political godfatherism, and distrust in electoral institutions; significantly constrains democratic consolidation. The paper draws on empirical data, historical analysis, and comparative case studies to propose policy interventions that could enhance voter education, institutional trust, and inclusive participation. It concludes that Nigeria has made modest but uneven progress and in Nigeria, voter dynamics are tools of elite manipulation but they can be turned into instruments of popular accountability. The paper concludes that sustainable democracy in Nigeria depends on strengthening electoral institutions, improving civic engagement, and addressing structural socio-economic challenges.

Introduction

Nigeria's return to civilian rule in 1999 marked the beginning of its Fourth Republic, a period hailed as the longest stretch of uninterrupted democratic governance in the nation's history. But democracy does not thrive on longevity alone but on the active participation of

citizens, particularly through regular elections. Since Nigeria's transition from military to civilian rule in 1999, the country has conducted seven general elections -1999, 2003, 2007, 2011, 2015, 2019, and 2023 - (Chiamogu & Chiamogu, 2024). These elections have been widely seen as central to democratization, yet they have been marred by allegations of fraud, violence, voter intimidation, judicial controversies, and declining turnout in some cycles - recent elections excluding the general elections also highlight these challenges (Suberu, 2007; Omotola, 2010; Orji, 2014; Chiamogu & Chiamogu, 2024). Thus, the quality of Nigeria's democracy, remains contested.

The consolidation of democracy requires not only the conduct of elections but also active and meaningful citizen participation and this can be assured by public trust in democratic institutions. In the 2023 presidential election, only about 26.72% of registered voters participated, representing the lowest turnout since 1999, thus raising fundamental questions about the legitimacy of democratic governance and the role of voter engagement in consolidating democracy (Hassan, 2024). The low turnout can be attributed to the feeling that votes do not count, a testament to a vote of no confidence in the electoral bodies, which remains weak in Nigeria. From the above, it can be deduced that, voter behaviour and voter dynamics are central indicators of democratic health (Ake, 1996, collier, 2009).

In democratic theory, citizens' electoral choices and the patterns of their participation, summarized as voter behaviour and voter dynamics, are significant indicators of the quality and depth of democracy. Where voters can choose freely, hold leaders to account, and base their choices on policy, performance, and ideology rather than on fear or primordial identities, elections can contribute to democratic consolidation (Linz & Stepan, 1996; Diamond, 1999). Conversely, when voter behaviour is driven largely by clientelism, ethnic mobilization, and low trust, elections can reproduce authoritarian tendencies within a nominally democratic framework (Bratton & van de Walle, 1997).

By applying a political economy framework, which emphasizes the interplay between political power and economic resources, this paper's analysis seeks to uncover how Nigeria's unique socio-economic and political structures shape electoral participation and outcomes. The central research question is: How can voter behaviour and dynamics be harnessed to consolidate democracy in Nigeria?

This paper therefore asks: in Nigeria, so far, how far has voter behaviour and voter dynamics served as tools for democratic consolidation? It approaches this question by arguing that; while Nigerian voters have increasingly demonstrated capacity for strategic and sometimes punitive voting - exemplified by the first opposition presidential victory in 2015 (Hassan, 2024) and rising electoral competitiveness in certain states, deep structural constraints remain. These include entrenched patronage networks, persistent insecurity, institutional weaknesses of the electoral management body, and increasing socio-economic hardship, all of which shape voter behaviour in ways that limit the consolidating potential of elections ((Omotola, 2010, Majekodunmi & Adejuwon, 2012, Orji, 2014, Hassan, 2024).

Theoretical Framework

The sociological model emphasizes the influence of social cleavages - class, religion, ethnicity, region - on voting patterns. In plural societies, ethnic and religious identities often serve as shortcuts for political choice, especially where programmatic differences between parties are weak (Lazarsfeld, Berelson & Gaudet, 1944; Lipset & Rokkan, 1967; Horowitz, 1985). In Nigeria, ethno-regional and religious cleavages (e.g. North–South, Christian-Muslim, majority-minority) have historically structured party formation and voting (Suberu, 2001; Mustapha, 2006). The sociological model explains voter behavior primarily through social group affiliations and structures. It posits that individuals' voting decisions are influenced by their membership in social groups such as ethnicity, religion, social class, and region

(Lazarsfeld, Berelson & Gaudet, 1944; Lipset & Rokkan, 1967). In Nigeria, this model is particularly relevant due to the country's diverse ethnic and religious composition.

Colin R. MacIver and Harold D. Lasswell are foundational sociologists who contributed to the development of sociological approaches in political behavior (Lazarsfeld, Berelson & Gaudet, 1944; Lipset & Rokkan, 1967; Horowitz, 1985). In the Nigerian context, scholars like Ayoade (1986), Suberu (1996), Mustapha (2006) and Ojo (2007), have applied sociological perspectives to explain voting patterns.

In Nigeria; Voters tend to align with candidates or parties that represent their ethnic or religious group interests. Social networks, community leaders, and family influence, reinforce voting along social lines. Economic status and social class also shape voter preferences, though ethnicity and religion are often more dominant. (Ayoade, 1986; Ojo, 2007).

The cleavage model focuses on enduring social divisions (cleavages) that structure political competition and voter alignment. In Nigeria, cleavages such as ethnicity, religion, and region are central to understanding voter dynamics. These cleavages create predictable voting blocs and influence party formation.

The psychological model focuses on long-term partisan identification and attitudinal orientations. Stable party loyalties, ideological dispositions, and trust in institutions shape how voters interpret political information (nmnxn). In Nigeria, however, parties are often weakly institutionalized, ideologically thin, and prone to elite defections (Omotola, 2009), limiting the formation of deep partisan attachments. Instead, loyalty may be to local patrons or ethnic "big men" rather than to formal parties (Ojo, 2007; Akinola, 2010)

Theodore Newcomb and Gordon Allport contributed foundational work on attitudes and social psychology relevant to voting behavior. Angus Campbell, Philip Converse, Warren Miller, and Donald Stokes are notable for developing the Michigan Model, which integrates

psychological factors in voter behavior. In Nigeria, scholars like Ojo (2007) and Akinola (2010) have applied psychological perspectives to understand voter attitudes and decision-making.

In many developing democracies, clientelism - exchange of votes for material benefits such as money, food, or patronage - plays a central role. Voters may accept short-term inducements in contexts of poverty, unemployment, and weak public services (Ekeh, 1975). In Nigeria, vote buying, distribution of food and cash, and promises of local projects by so-called “godfathers” have been extensively documented (Joseph, 1991; Diamond, 1988; Albert, 2005; Ibrahim & Ibeanu, 2009). Such patterns can distort democratic accountability and undermine programmatic politics.

Clientelism is widespread across Nigeria’s political landscape, cutting across ethnic and regional lines. Political parties prioritize clientelist transfers to core supporters to secure votes. Vote-buying and patronage networks are common during elections. Clientelism shapes voter behavior by creating incentives for voters to support specific candidates or parties.

Leonard Wantchekon has conducted influential research on clientelism and voting behavior in African contexts, including Nigeria. Richard Joseph and Larry Diamond have analyzed clientelism as a defining feature of Nigerian politics. Peter Ekeh introduced the concept of “two publics” in Nigeria, highlighting the role of patron-client relations in political behavior.

Institutional theories stress the role of electoral laws, party systems, electoral management bodies, and the broader political environment (Norris, 2015). In Nigeria, the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC), the legal framework (Electoral Acts), judicial decisions, and security conditions significantly shape voter confidence and

participation (Aiyede, 2014). The adoption of technologies such as smart card readers (2015) and BVAS (2023) has influenced perceptions of integrity and patterns of turnout.

In practice, Nigerian voter behaviour is best understood as a hybrid outcome of these models: ethno-religious cleavages and patronage are intertwined with performance evaluations, institutional reforms, and evolving civic awareness. That is to say, in Nigeria, these theories intersect: voter behaviour is often a rational response to clientelist networks, ethnic mobilization, and economic insecurity.

Historical Context: Voting in Nigeria from Independence to the Fourth Republic

Nigeria's electoral history is marked by cycles of hope and disillusionment. The First Republic (1960 -1966) saw voting heavily influenced by ethnic and regional loyalties, contributing to political instability and civil war. Military rule (1966 -1999) suppressed democratic participation, entrenching a culture of authoritarianism. Nigeria's pre-1999 electoral history - during the First Republic (1960 -1966), Second Republic (1979 -1983), and short-lived Third Republic (1992 -1993), was marked by:

- Ethno-regional party structures (e.g. NCNC, NPC, AG in the First Republic);
- Electoral irregularities and violence (notably the "wild, wild West" crisis);
- Military coups justified partly by alleged electoral corruption;
- The annulment of the widely acclaimed 12 June 1993 election, which undermined trust in elections as vehicles for change (Diamond, 1988; Kirk-Greene & Oyediran, 1997).

The Fourth Republic and General Elections (1999–2023)

Since 1999, Nigeria has held regular national and state-level elections, but their quality has varied

- 1999: Transition election from military rule; relatively low competitiveness in some areas; significant elite pacts.
- 2003 and 2007: Marked by widespread irregularities, violence, and inflated turnout figures, especially in some states of the Niger Delta and the North (EU EOM, 2007).
- 2011: Improved administration under INEC Chair Attahiru Jega, but inter-communal violence followed the presidential results, particularly in northern states (Madukpe, et al, 2025).
- 2015: Introduction of PVCs and smart card readers; credible opposition victory by Muhammadu Buhari (APC) over incumbent Goodluck Jonathan (PDP) for the first time; peaceful concession by the incumbent.
- 2019: Competitive but marred by logistical challenges, vote buying, and isolated violence (Orji, 2014).
- 2023: Use of BVAS and IReV (electronic result viewing) raised expectations. While the elections were more technologically sophisticated, controversies around result transmission, logistical failures, and legal disputes over the presidential election weakened perceptions of integrity (INEC Report, 2024).

Across these cycles, voter behaviour has evolved in response to changing institutional frameworks, party coalitions (PDP vs APC, emergence of Labour Party's Obi candidacy in 2023), and socio-economic conditions.

Patterns of Voter Participation and voter dynamics

Nigeria has a large registered voter population, but actual turnout has often been considerably lower: 1999: About 52% turnout (approximate estimates); 2003: Turnout figures officially high, but many observers questioned their credibility; 2007: Official turnout reported above 50%, though observers noted widespread irregularities (EU EOM, 2007); 2011: Turnout

around 54% of registered voters; 2015: Turnout approximately 44% (INEC data); 2019: Turnout shrank to around 35%, one of the lowest in Nigeria's electoral history (INEC, 2019); 2023: Turnout remained relatively low, with estimates in the mid-20s to low-30s percent of registered voters, depending on final figures and methods of calculation(INEC, 2023).

The gap between registration and turnout suggests that many citizens are formally included in the electoral roll but effectively disengaged or discouraged from voting on Election Day. Research and observer reports identify several factors influencing turnout:

- I. Distrust in electoral integrity: Many Nigerians believe results may be manipulated, thus reducing the perceived efficacy of voting (Bratton, 2013).
- II. Security concerns: Electoral violence, thuggery, and general insecurity in some regions (e.g. insurgency in the North East, banditry, secessionist violence in the South East) deter participation.
- III. Logistical barriers: Late arrival of materials, malfunctioning of card readers/BVAS, long queues, and re-scheduled elections frustrate voters (Orji, 2014).
- IV. Socio-economic constraints: Opportunity costs of time away from work, transport difficulties, and poor infrastructure.
- V. Disillusionment with politicians: Perception that politicians are similar and unresponsive; "they will rig it anyway" is a recurring sentiment.

Turnout has also been uneven across regions and states, sometimes reflecting differences in mobilization capacity, perceived stakes of the election, or confidence in the process. These turnout patterns shape the representativeness and legitimacy of outcomes, and in turn affect democratic consolidation.

Ethno-Regional Voting Patterns

Ethnicity and region remain powerful determinants of voter behaviour in Nigeria. Presidential elections often show: Strong support for northern Muslim candidates in core northern states; Strong support for southern Christian candidates in many southern states; Strategic coalition-building across regions by major parties to secure the constitutional requirement of not just a plurality of votes, but at least 25% of votes in two-thirds of states (Suberu, 2001; Mustapha, 2006).

The zoning and rotational arrangements - both formal (within parties) and informal (across political elites) - also influence voter expectations and choices. For example, the PDP's zoning agreement and the debates around "power shift" to the North or South have shaped support for candidates in several electoral cycles (Akinola, 2010).

Religion as a Cleavage

Religion intersects with region: Islam is predominant in much of the North, Christianity in the South and parts of the Middle Belt. Religious leaders and institutions often mobilize voters, issue endorsements, or frame elections in moral or identity-laden terms. Controversies over Muslim-Muslim or Muslim-Christian tickets (such as the APC's Muslim-Muslim presidential ticket in 2023) reveal how sensitive religious representation remains. While some voters base their choice mainly on religious affinity, others prioritize competence, track record, or party platform, especially in more urban, cosmopolitan constituencies (Madukpe, et al, 2025). .

Ethno-religious voting, in itself, does not necessarily undermine democracy, in diverse societies, identity-based representation can enhance inclusion (Lazarsfeld, et al, 1944; Horowitz, 1985). The problem arises when:

- A. Political elites mobilize identity in zero-sum ways, fueling tension and violence;
- B. Programmatic and performance considerations are subordinated to primordial loyalties;

C. Access to state resources is perceived primarily through ethnic or religious lenses.

(Alemika, 2011; Chiamogu, & Chiamogu, 2024).

In Nigeria, ethno-religious polarization has occasionally led to post-election violence (notably in 2011) and deepened distrust between communities. This constrains democratic consolidation by weakening national cohesion and by making cross-cutting, policy-based coalitions harder to sustain (Akinola, 2010; Alemika, 2011; Chiamogu, & Chiamogu, 2024; Daukere, et al. 2024).

Clientelism, Vote Buying, and Political Patronage

Numerous studies and observer missions have documented widespread vote buying and other forms of electoral clientelism in Nigeria (Ibrahim & Ibeanu, 2009; Omotola, 2010; EU EOM, 2019). Common practices include: Direct cash distribution at or near polling units; Distribution of food, clothing, and other commodities; Promises of public works, jobs, or contracts tied to electoral outcomes. Vote buying has reportedly intensified as electoral processes become somewhat more competitive: when overt rigging is harder, politicians may shift to more sophisticated or subtle forms of inducement (Ibrahim & Ibeanu, 2009; Omotola, 2010; Bratton, 2013).

According to Joseph, 1991 and Albert, 2005, several factors encourage voters to accept inducements:

- I. Poverty and unemployment: In a context of widespread hardship, immediate material benefits can outweigh abstract democratic ideals.
- II. Weak state capacity: Where public services and social safety nets are limited, clientelist networks can appear as the only reliable source of benefits.
- III. Norms and expectations: Some communities view election periods as an opportunity to “collect their share” from politicians who will later be inaccessible.

- IV. Low trust in future accountability: Voters doubt that they can influence politicians after elections, so they seek upfront compensation.

5.4.1 Consequences for Democracy

Clientelism distorts democratic consolidation in several ways:

- A. It undermines programmatic competition: as candidates focus on distributing material benefits rather than articulating policies;
- B. It weakens accountability: since the relationship is transactional and short-term;
- C. It entrenches political inequality: favoring wealthy candidates and parties with access to state resources;
- D. It corrodes public trust: as elections are seen as auctions rather than civic exercises.

However, not all voters succumb to inducements; civil society and media campaigns (“vote and protect your vote”, “collect their money, etc.) reflect a growing normative backlash in certain segments of the electorate. However, the overall impact of clientelism remains substantial (Albert, 2005; Ibrahim & Ibeanu, 2009; Daukere, et al. 2024).

Party System, Campaigns, and Voter Choice

Nigeria’s party system has been characterized by: Frequent mergers, splits, and defections (e.g. formation of the APC from opposition parties in 2013); Weak ideological differentiation (most major parties espouse similar broad goals such as development, anti-corruption, and security without clear ideological content) (Omotola, 2009); Personalistic politics, where party platforms are secondary to the charisma, region, or networks of candidates.

This fluidity affects voter behaviour by: Making long-term partisan identification weak; encouraging tactical voting based on perceived viability, ethnic balancing, or local patronage;

Reducing the ability of elections to institutionalize stable programmatic competition (Omotola, 2009; Chiamogu, & Chiamogu, 2024).

Campaigns in Nigeria combine traditional methods (rallies, posters, patronage networks) with increasing use of social media, especially among youth and urban voters. The 2015 and 2023 elections demonstrated the growing power of online mobilization. In 2015, the APC harnessed social media to brand itself as a change agent against PDP incumbency; In 2023, the Labour Party's Peter Obi gained significant traction among urban youth and middle-class voters through online activism, crowdfunding, and decentralised volunteer networks (Orji, 2014; Madukpe, et al 2025).

However, the digital sphere also spreads misinformation and hate speech, which can further polarize communities and distort informed voting. Access to social media is uneven, so its influence is mainly among younger, urban, and educated demographics (Orji, 2014; INEC Report, 2024; Madukpe, et al 2025).

Youth Voters, Gender, and Emerging Trends

Nigeria's population is overwhelmingly young, and youth constitute a large proportion of registered voters. Over recent election cycles, there has been: Rising youth-driven movements around governance and accountability (e.g. #EndSARS protests in 2020); Increased enthusiasm for outsider or reformist candidates seen as breaking with "old politics"; More visible use of online platforms for political discourse and mobilization (Chiamogu, & Chiamogu, 2024; Daukere, et.al. 2024). Yet youth participation faces obstacles: unemployment, disillusionment, barriers to contesting for office (high nomination fees, political godfathers), and security fears on Election Day. Official turnout figures suggest that youth registration does not always translate into high youth turnout (INEC Report, 2024).

Women's political participation as voters is relatively high numerically, but women remain underrepresented in elective and appointive offices (British Council, 2012; Akiyode-Afolabi & Arogundade, 2003). Cultural norms, economic constraints, and violence in politics limit women's candidacies. From a voter behaviour perspective, there is evidence that: Women often vote along the same ethnic, religious, or partisan lines as their communities; Targeted mobilization by women's groups and NGOs has encouraged independent evaluation of candidates and issues such as healthcare, education, and security. Enhancing women's substantive representation - both as voters and as candidates - could foster more inclusive and policy-focused democratic consolidation.

Electoral Reforms, Technology, and Changing Voter Dynamics

Since 1999, several reforms have attempted to improve electoral integrity: Strengthening of INEC's independence and funding; Revisions of the Electoral Act (notably 2010 and 2022); Legal innovations aimed at reducing fraud and improving dispute resolution (Alemika, 2011; Aiyede, 2014; INEC Report, 2025). These reforms have had mixed success. They have helped reduce some blatant forms of rigging but have not fully eliminated manipulation, especially at sub-national levels and during collation processes (Aiyede, 2014).

The introduction of new technologies has significantly shaped voter dynamics:

- A. Smart card readers and PVCs (2015): Enhanced authentication of voters, reduced multiple voting, and contributed to the credibility of the 2015 elections;
- B. BVAS and IReV (2023): Intended to authenticate voters biometrically and upload polling unit results in real time, boosting transparency.

These technologies have: Increased expectations among voters for cleaner elections; Altered political strategies, pushing some manipulation further "upstream" (e.g. candidate selection, vote buying, result collation); Influenced turnout decisions (some voters were motivated by the

belief that their votes would count); others were discouraged when technological glitches or perceived failures in real-time transmission eroded confidence (INEC, 2023).

Overall, technology has been a double-edged sword: it closes some avenues of fraud but opens new disputes and demands high logistical capacity.

Voter Behaviour and the general Elections: Selected Illustrations

2003 and 2007: Marked by widespread irregularities, violence, and inflated turnout figures, especially in some states of the Niger Delta and the North (EU EOM, 2007).

2011: Improved administration under INEC Chair Attahiru Jega, but inter-communal violence followed the presidential results, particularly in northern states.

Across these cycles, voter behaviour has evolved in response to changing institutional frameworks, party coalitions (PDP vs APC, emergence of Labour Party's Obi candidacy in 2023), and socio-economic factors.

The 2015 general election is often cited as a watershed: for the first time, an opposition candidate defeated an incumbent president at the national level. Scholarly analyses point to several factors (Adejumobi, 2015; Bekoe, 2015): Widespread dissatisfaction with the PDP's 16-year rule, perceptions of corruption, and insecurity (Boko Haram insurgency); Effective coalition-building by the APC across key regions; Increased confidence in electoral integrity due to PVCs and card readers.

2019: Competitive but marred by logistical challenges, vote buying, and isolated violence.

2023: Use of BVAS and IReV (electronic result viewing) raised expectations. While the elections were more technologically sophisticated, controversies around result

transmission, logistical failures, and legal disputes over the presidential election weakened perceptions of integrity (Daukere, et al 2025).

This legacy of manipulated elections and abrupt regime changes created a deep reservoir of skepticism about the value of voting, which continues to shape attitudes in the Fourth Republic. This historical legacy shapes contemporary voter attitudes, fostering cynicism yet also resilient demand for democratic accountability.

Voter Dynamics and Democratic Consolidation: Pathways and Barriers

Scholars highlight several dimensions of consolidation. According to Linz & Stepan, 1996 these are:

1. Behavioral - No significant political actors seek to overthrow the democratic regime or secede from the state.
2. Attitudinal – A majority of citizens believe that democratic institutions are the most appropriate means of governing, even when dissatisfied with incumbents.
3. Constitutional – Democratic rules, including elections, checks and balances, and rights protections, are routinely followed and not bypassed.

Elections - particularly the behaviour of voters within them—are pivotal because they link citizens' preferences to political outcomes, ideally ensuring accountability and legitimacy. Democratic consolidation requires that elections are a stable and accepted system. Voter dynamics contribute to consolidation when they:

- I. Enhance Accountability: Voting based on performance rather than identity.
- II. Promote Inclusion: Equal participation across gender, age, and regional lines.
- III. Strengthen Legitimacy: High turnout and acceptance of electoral outcomes.

In Nigeria, current dynamics often do the opposite: voting is episodic, manipulated, and exclusionary. Violence around elections - such as in Rivers, Kano, and Lagos states - undermines stability. Vote-buying and intimidation distort representation.

Lessons from Nigeria and Beyond

Nigeria's return to civilian rule in 1999 followed decades of military rule punctuated by brief civilian interludes. The 1999 election, organized by the military regime of General Abdulsalami Abubakar, laid the foundation for the Fourth Republic. Olusegun Obasanjo of the People's Democratic Party (PDP) won the presidency, and the PDP established legislative dominance. While the election was imperfect, with restricted competition and military oversight, it marked an important step toward civilian governance (Joseph, 1999). Voter behaviour at this stage was largely shaped by the desire to end military rule and restore civilian government, with less emphasis on issues or performance.

The 2003 and 2007 elections were widely criticized for extensive irregularities, fraud, and violence (Human Rights Watch, 2007; EU EOM, 2007). President Obasanjo secured reelection in 2003, and in 2007, Umaru Musa Yar'Adua of the PDP emerged amid serious credibility issues. Despite these flaws, voter turnout remained relatively high on paper, though actual participation was likely inflated by fraud and multiple voting. The dominance of PDP at the federal level and in most states reinforced the perception that electoral competition was biased. Nonetheless, the system of regular elections remained intact, and some opposition parties maintained footholds in specific regions (e.g., the AD/AC/ACN in the Southwest).

Following Yar'Adua's illness and death in 2010, Goodluck Jonathan assumed the presidency and implemented some electoral reforms, including appointing Attahiru Jega as INEC Chairman. The 2011 general elections were considered an improvement in terms of administration and transparency, even though post-election violence in northern states led to

hundreds of deaths (Bekoe, 2011). Voter behaviour in 2011 reflected both identity and performance considerations: Jonathan enjoyed significant support in the Niger Delta and much of the South, while skepticism remained high in parts of the North, partly linked to perceptions of “zoning” and power rotation within the PDP.

The 2015 election, which saw the first peaceful transfer of power from a ruling party (PDP) to an opposition (APC), raised hopes, a significant milestone for democratic consolidation. However, post-election realities - continued economic struggles, insecurity led to voter disillusionment by 2023. At the same time, chronic problems such as low turnout, vote-buying, electoral violence, and distrust of electoral bodies persist (Ibrahim & Ibeanu, 2009; Omotola, 2010; Bekoe, 2011).

The 2019 elections were more contentious. Although INEC introduced new technologies (e.g., smart card readers), delays, logistical failures, and localized violence undermined confidence. Buhari and the APC were re-elected, while opposition parties alleged widespread irregularities. Turnout officially declined compared to previous cycles, reflecting growing disillusionment (INEC, 2019; Ibrahim, 2019).

The 2023 elections introduced the Bimodal Voter Accreditation System (BVAS) and the INEC Result Viewing (IReV) portal, promising greater transparency. However, severe logistical problems, technological glitches, and perceived inconsistencies in result transmission—especially in the presidential poll—led to public outcry and legal challenges. Though opposition candidates made significant inroads (notably Peter Obi of the Labour Party, who galvanized urban youth and segments of the middle class), many citizens became more skeptical of the integrity of elections (Centre for Democracy and Development [CDD], 2023).

Nigeria’s Fourth Republic, inaugurated in 1999, has witnessed seven general election cycles, each contributing new insights into the evolution of electoral politics and voter

behaviour. Across these cycles, voter dynamics reveal both progress and setbacks, with implications for democratic consolidation.

Ghana's successful democratic consolidation highlights the role of strong electoral commissions, civic education, and elite consensus (Wantchekon, 2003). India's massive electorate demonstrates how social cleavages can be managed through federalism and robust institutions. Both cases offer lessons for Nigeria on building trust and inclusive participation.

Conclusion

Voter behaviour and dynamics are not merely reflections of Nigeria's political economy; they are active forces that can either undermine or deepen democracy. Currently, ethnic mobilization, economic precocity, and institutional weakness distort voting, perpetuating a cycle of poor governance. Nigeria has made progress in sustaining electoral processes, the quality of participation remains problematic. Persistent issues such as voter apathy, vote buying, and institutional weaknesses continue to hinder democratic consolidation.

For Nigeria to achieve a fully consolidated democracy, reforms must go beyond electoral procedures to address the underlying socio-economic and political factors shaping voter behaviour. Despite and in spite of the current situation, the resilience of Nigerian voters - evident in rising turnout in some regions, activism, and demands for reform - offers hope. By implementing targeted reforms that address the root causes of distorted voter behaviour, Nigeria can transform its elections from arenas of conflict into instruments of democratic consolidation. The journey requires sustained commitment from political elites, civil society, and the international community, but the stakes - a stable, prosperous, and democratic Nigeria - are worth the effort.

References

- Adejumobi, S. (2015). Elections as the Predicament of Democracy in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. *Journal of African Elections*, 15(1),
- Ake, C. (1996). *Democracy and Development in Africa*. Brookings Institution.
- Akinola, A. O. (2010). Political Psychology and Voting Behaviour in Nigeria. *African Journal of Political Science*.
- Akiyode-Afolabi, A., & Arogundade, L. (Eds.). (2003). *Gender Audit 2003 Election: And Issues in Women's Political Participation in Nigeria*. Ikeja, Lagos: Women Advocates Research and Documentation Centre (WACOL).
- Albert, I. O. (2005). Explaining 'Godfatherism' in Nigerian Politics. *African Sociological Review*, 9(2)
- Alemika, E. E. O. (2011). Electoral reform and democratic consolidation in Nigeria: Prospects and challenges. In L. A. O. Ojo (Ed.), *Electoral Reform in Nigeria: Progress, Challenges and Prospects* (pp. 25–48). Nigerian Institute of Social and Economic Research (NISER).
- Ayoade, J. A. A. (1986). *The Sociology of Nigerian Politics*. Ibadan: University Press.
- Aiyede, E. R. (2014). Institutional Change and Nigeria's 2015 Elections. Paper presented at INEC Conference. Available at: <https://wp1.inecnigeria.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Conference-Paper-by-Emmanuel-Remi-Aiyede1.pdf>. Retrieved on 1st April 2026
- Bekoe, D. (2015). Understanding Nigeria's 2015 Presidential Election. Council on Foreign Relations. Available at: <https://www.cfr.org/event/understanding-nigerias-2015-presidential-election>. Retrieved on 1st April 2025

Bratton, M., & van de Walle, N. (1997). *Democratic Experiments in Africa: Regime Transitions in Comparative Perspective*. Cambridge University Press.

Bratton, M. (2013). *Vote Buying and Violence in Nigerian Election Campaigns*. Afrobarometer Working Paper. Available at: <https://www.files.ethz.ch/isn/91313/AfropaperNo99.pdf>. Retrieved on 1st April 2026

British Council. (2012). *Next Generation Nigeria*. British Council. Retrieved from <https://www.britishcouncil.org/sites/default/files/1039>. Retrieved on 1st April 2026

Centre for Democracy and Development (CDD). (2023). *Nigeria 2023 Decides: Politics Brief*. Retrieved from <https://www.cddwestafrica.org/uploads/reports/file/CDD-EAC-Politics-Brief.pdf> on 1 April 2026

Chiamogu, A., & Chiamogu, U. (2024). Electoral participation and democratic consolidation in Nigeria. *African Journal of Political Science*.

Collier, P. (2009). *Wars, Guns, and Votes: Democracy in Dangerous Places*. HarperCollins.

Daukere, B. E., Akpu, B., Paki, F. A. E., Adeniyi, E. E., & Uti, H. O. (2024). Analysing the impact of socioeconomic factors influencing voter turnout in Nigeria's Fourth Republic (2015–2023). *African Journal of Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 14(8).

Diamond, L. (1999). *Developing democracy: Towards consolidation*. Baltimore; the John Hopkins university press.

Ekeh, P. (1975). *Colonialism and the Two Publics in Africa: A Theoretical Statement*. Comparative Studies in Society and History.

- European Union Election Observation Mission (EU EOM). (2007). Nigeria: Final Report on the Gubernatorial and State Houses of Assembly Elections (14 April 2007) and Presidential and National Assembly Elections (21 April 2007). Retrieved from <https://www.ecoi.net/en/document/1328239.html> on 1st April 2026
- Hassan, M.B. (2024): Voter's turnout and democratization in Nigeria: interrogating the 2023 presidential election. *African journal of stability and development (AJSD)*, 16(2), 316-336. <https://doi.org/10.53982/ajsd.2024.1602.07-j>
- Horowitz, D. L. (1985). *Ethnic Groups in Conflict*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Ibrahim, J., & Ibeanu, O. (Eds.). (2009). *Direct Capture: The 2007 Nigerian Elections and Subversion of Popular Sovereignty*. Centre for Democracy and Development (CDD), Nigeria.
- ICG, (2007). Nigeria's elections: Avoiding a political crisis "African Report no. 123" March, 28, 2007
- Iloh, E. C. (2022). Vote buying and democratic consolidation in Nigeria: Implications for electoral integrity. *African Renaissance Journal of Politics, Economics and Sociology*, 17(2), 45–62.
- INEC and Credible Elections in Nigeria: The 2023 General Election. Retrieved on 1st April 2026 from <https://www.ijsshr.com/journal/index.php/IJSSHR/article/view/946>.
- Independent National Electoral Commission. (2024). Report of the 2023 general election. <https://inecnigeria.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/2023-GENERAL-ELECTION-REPORT-1.pdf>

International Centre for Investigative Reporting. (2023, April 1). 2023 elections: Nigeria's voter turnout drops to lowest. <https://www.icirnigeria.org/2023-elections-nigerias-voter-turnout-drops-to-lowest/>

Independent Electoral Assessment Team (2010). Independent Electoral Assessment Team, final report, June

Joseph, R. (1991). *Democracy and Prebendal Politics in Nigeria: The Rise and Fall of the Second Republic*. Cambridge University Press.

Lazarsfeld, P. F., Berelson, B., & Gaudet, H. (1944). *The People's Choice: How the Voter Makes Up His Mind in a Presidential Campaign*. New York: Duell, Sloan and Pearce.

Linz, J. J., & Stepan, A. (1996). *Problems of Democratic Transition and Consolidation: Southern Europe, South America, and Post-Communist Europe*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Lipset, S. M., & Rokkan, S. (1967). *Party Systems and Voter Alignments: Cross-National Perspectives*. New York: Free Press.

Majekodunmi, A., & Adejuwon, K. (2012). Electoral Administration and the Challenge of Democratic Consolidation in Nigeria. *International Journal of Public Administration and Social Science*, 2(5),

Norris, P. (2015). *Why Electoral Integrity Matters*. Cambridge University Press.

Ojo, E. O. (2007). Nigeria's 2007 General Elections and the Succession Crisis: Implications for Nascent Democracy. *Journal of African Elections*, 6(2),

Omotola, J. S. (2010). Elections and democratic transition in Nigeria under the Fourth Republic. *African Affairs*, 109(437), 535-553. <https://doi.org/10.1093/afraf/adq035>.

Retrieved on 2nd April 2026

Orji, N. (2014). Nigeria's 2015 Election in Perspective. *Africa Spectrum*, 49(2), 3-12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000203971404900306>. Retrieved on 1st April 2026

Report of the 2019 General Election – INEC. Retrieved on 1st April 2026 from <https://inecnigeria.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/REPORT-OF-THE-2019-GENERAL-ELECTION.pdf>.

Review of the 2019 General Election – INEC. Retrieved on 1st April 2026 from <https://www.inecnigeria.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/REVIEW-OF-THE-2019-GENERAL-ELECTION-REPORT-OF-THE-COMMISSIONS-RETREATS-AND-STAKEHOLDERS-ENGAGEMENTS-28TH-MAY-12TH-JULY-2019.pdf>.

Schedler, A., & Schaffer, F. C. (2005). What is Vote Buying? In *Elections for Sale: The Causes and Consequences of Vote Buying* (eds. F.C. Schaffer).

Suberu, R. T. (2007). *Federalism and Ethnic Conflict in Nigeria*. United States Institute of Peace Press.

The 2019 General Election in Nigeria: Examining the Issues, Challenges, Successes and Lessons for Future General Elections. Retrieved on the 1st of April 2026 from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344179265_The_2019_General_Election_in_Nigeria_Examining_the_Issues_Challenges_Successes_and_Lessons_for_Future_General_Elections.

Report of the 2023 General Election – INEC; The official report on the 2023 general elections, detailing the conduct, challenges, and outcomes of the elections. Retrieved on 1st April

2026 from <https://inecnigeria.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/2023-GENERAL-ELECTION-REPORT-1.pdf>.

Review of the 2023 General Election – INEC: A comprehensive review of the 2023 elections, including legislative changes and the use of Permanent Voter Cards (PVCs). Retrieved on 1st April 2026 from <https://inecnigeria.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/12/REVIEW-OF-THE-2023-GENERAL-ELECTION-1.pdf>.

Kirk-Greene, A. H. M., & Oyediran, O. (Eds.). (1997). *Transition Without End: Nigerian Politics and Civil Society Under Babangida*. Ibadan: Vantage Publishers.

Linz, J. J., & Stepan, A. (1996). *Problems of Democratic Transition and Consolidation: Southern Europe, South America, and Post-Communist Europe*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

Madukpe, V., Abubakar, A., & Ibrahim, S. (2025). Socioeconomic determinants of voting behaviour in Nigeria: Evidence from the Fourth Republic. arXiv preprint. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.17507>

Majekodunmi, R. & Adejuwon, K. (2012). Electoral Administration and the consolidation of democracy: An overview of 2011 general elections in Nigeria. *International journal of physical and social sciences*. <http://www.ijmra.us>. Volume 2, issue 5. Issn: 2249 – 5894

Mustapha, A. R. (2006). *Ethnic Structure, Inequality and Governance of the Public Sector in Nigeria*. CRISE Working Paper

Norris, P. (2004). *Electoral Engineering: Voting Rules and Political Behavior*. Cambridge University Press.

Omotola, J. S. (2010). Elections and democratic transition in Nigeria under the Fourth Republic. *African Affairs*, 109(437), 535–553. <https://doi.org/10.1093/afraf/adq040>

Orji, N. (2014). The 2011 Nigerian general elections: Consolidating democracy or reinforcing autocracy? *African Spectrum*, 49(3), 75–87.

Ojo, E. O. (2007). *Voting Behaviour in Nigeria: A Sociological Perspective*. Nigerian Journal of Political Science.

Oyuru, R. A. (2020). Voter turnout in Nigeria: A review of literature and emerging trends in the Fourth Republic. *Kaduna Journal of Political Studies*, 8(1), 1–18.

Rokkan, S., & Lipset, S. M. (1967). *Party Systems and Voter Alignments: Cross-National Perspectives*. Free Press.

- Suberu, R. T. (1996). *Ethnic Minority Conflicts and Governance in Nigeria*. Ibadan: Spectrum Books.
- Schedler, A. (1998). What is democratic consolidation? *Journal of Democracy*, 9(2), 91–107.
<https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.1998.0030>
- Suberu, R. T. (2007). Nigeria's muddled elections. *Journal of Democracy*, 18(4), 95–110.
<https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2007.0073>
- Wantchekon, L. (2003). Clientelism and Voting Behavior: Evidence from a Field Experiment in Benin. *American Political Science Review*.